U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

05-11166	EFH CIVIL ACTION NO.
HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, MAGISTRATE JUDGE	Dein
Plaintiff,	AMOUNT 104743
v.	SUMMONS ISSUED (E)
TOWN OF WESTON,	WAIVER FORM
Defendant	MCF ISSUED

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND MONETARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, Hartford Fire Insurance Company ("Hartford") for its Complaint for Declaratory Relief and Monetary Judgment against Defendant, Town of Weston ("Weston") states:

PARTIES

- Hartford is a Connecticut corporation which, among other things, is in the business of issuing surety bonds. Hartford's principal place of business is in Hartford Connecticut.
- 2. Weston is a town organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts having lawful existence as a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 3. At all relevant times, Weston acted by and though the Weston School Committee and other officers and agents are duly authorized and appointed.

JURISDICTION

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter under 28 U.S.C. §1332, as Hartford has citizenship diverse from Weston, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 and pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §2201 because this is an action seeking, in part, a declaratory judgment and there is an actual controversy regarding the legal relations of the parties.

FACTS

- 5. On or about June 25, 2001, Jan Five Corporation d/b/a Alexandra Construction ("Alexandra" or "Principal") entered into a contract with Weston for the construction of a project known as Additions and Renovations to the Country and Woodland Schools in Weston, Massachusetts ("Project").
- 6. Weston furnished documents including, plans, specifications, general conditions, supplementary conditions and other documents ("Contract") for use by Alexandra for the Project.
- 7. Hartford, as surety and Alexandra, as principal, made, executed and delivered to Weston a Performance Bond No. 08BCSAV7773 as well as a Labor and Material Bond No. 08BCSAV7773 ("Payment Bond"), both bonds being dated June 25, 2001.
- 8. The penal sum of the Performance Bond is \$27,677,000.00 and the penal sum of the Payment Bond is \$27,677,000.00.
- 9. Alexandra commenced performance on the Project and during the course of the Project certain disputes arose between Weston and Alexandra.

- 10. As a result of the disputes between Weston and Alexandra, Weston terminated Alexandra's Contract with respect to the Project effective July 15, 2004.
 - 11. Alexandra disputes the propriety of the termination.
- 12. Weston demanded that Hartford, as surety, arrange for completion of the Contract pursuant to the terms of the Performance Bond.
- 13. On November 11, 2004, with a reservation of rights by both Weston and Hartford, the parties executed a Takeover Agreement to arrange for work to be performed on the Project.
- 14. Weston understood that Alexandra would perform the work on the Project pursuant to the terms of the Takeover Agreement.
- 15. Hartford, as surety, began performance of work under the Takeover Agreement and completed a substantial portion of the work under the Takeover Agreement.
- 16. During the course of the performance of the work under the Takeover Agreement, disputes arose between Hartford and Weston concerning the scope of the work to be completed under the Takeover Agreement, the Contract and the obligations of the parties under the Takeover Agreement.
- 17. Weston terminated Hartford's right to proceed under the Takeover Agreement.

COUNT I—BREACH OF CONTRACT

18. Hartford hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 17 above as if fully set forth herein.

- 19. Weston has breached the terms of the Contract on the Project, the Performance Bond and the Takeover Agreement by, among other things:
 - (a) Refusing to remit due and owing Contract proceeds;
 - (b) Refusing to process and pay for legitimate change order work;
- (c) Assessing both liquidated damages and actual damages contrary to established legal principles;
 - (d) Refusing to approve or make payment of claims asserted by Alexandra.
- 20. Weston's actions constitute a material breach of the Contract, Performance Bond, and the Takeover Agreement.
 - 21. All conditions precedent to maintain this action have been satisfied.

COUNT II

- 22. Hartford hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 21 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Weston, by its actions and/or omissions, required, requested and otherwise forced Hartford to arrange for the furnishing of labor, materials, equipment, supplies and other services to Weston for which Hartford has not been paid. Weston accepted the work performed by Hartford and gained the full benefit of Hartford's performance.
- 24. Under the equitable doctrine of *quantum meruit*, Hartford is entitled to recovery from Weston the fair value of the labor, materials and services provided by Hartford to Weston for which Hartford has not been paid.

COUNT III

- 25. Hartford hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 24 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. The public bidding laws applicable to the Project require, among other things, that plans and specifications for such Project shall detail all labor and material to be provided thereunder.
- 27. Weston had the responsibility, oversight and control of the preparation of the designs of the work, and the plans and specifications for the Project which were intended to be complete, adequate, accurate, detailed and workable.
- 28. The Contract contained express and implied representations that such document was prepared with due care and in accordance with applicable law.
- 29. The Contract prepared and published by Weston did not conform to such express or implied representations.
- 30. Alexandra/Hartford substantially performed the work on the Project in accordance with the Contract, but Weston has failed and refused to pay for all work and extra work performed under the Contract.
- 31. As a consequence of changes, omissions, defects and misrepresentations in the Contract, and extra work Alexandra/Hartford was required to perform, Hartford incurred additional costs and expenses.
- 32. By reason of the foregoing, Weston has breached the Contract, the Performance Bond and the Takeover Agreement.
 - 33. All condition precedent to maintain this action have been satisfied.

COUNT IV--DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 34. Hartford hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 33 above, as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. Hartford states that a genuine controversy has arisen between Weston and Hartford as to the meaning, interpretation and application of the Contract, the Performance Bond and the Takeover Agreement and Hartford requests that this Court order, adjudge and declare that Hartford is entitled to receive payment for such amounts as have been wrongfully withheld by Weston.

WHEREFORE, Hartford demands that this Court order, adjudge and award a judgment in favor of Hartford against Weston as follows:

- (a) Enter judgment in favor of Hartford against Weston for any amounts determined to be due Hartford.
- (b) Enter judgment in favor of Hartford against Weston and award Hartford its damages for breach of contract by Weston and such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- (c) Enter judgment in favor of Hartford against Weston and award Hartford the fair value of the work performed, and labor and material equipment and supplies furnished, and for the cost and expenses of this action.
- (d) Enter a declaratory judgment, declaring the rights, responsibilities and liabilities of Hartford and Weston under the terms and conditions of the Contract, the Performance Bond and the Takeover Agreement.

- (e) For such further relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances and the applicable law.
- (f) Award Hartford its costs and attorneys' fees in maintaining this action and for such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Respectfully Submitted,
HARTFORD FIRE INSUARANCE
COMPANY
By its attorneys,

Bradford R. Carver, BBO #565396

CETRULO & CAPONE LLP Two Seaport Lane, 10th Floor

Boston, MA 02210 Tel: (617) 217-5500 Fax: (617) 217-5200

Date: June 6, 2005

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of case (nar	weston					
2.	Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).						
	l.	160, 410, 470, 535, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.					
	lı.	195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441-446, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, *Also complete AO 120 or AD 121 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. for patent, trademark or copyright cases					
	X III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 6					
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 6 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.					
	v.	150, 152, 153.					
3.		er, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). #more than one prior related case has been filed in this ndicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.					
4 .	Has a prior actio	on between the same parties and based on the same clalm ever been filed in this court? YES NO X					
5.	Does the compla	laint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC					
		YES NO X .A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?					
	,	YES NO					
6.	Is this case requ	uired to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?					
		YES NO X					
7.		to <u>all</u> of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).					
		YES K NO					
	A.	If yes, in which division do <u>all</u> of the non-governmental parties reside?					
		Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division					
	В.	If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?					
		Eastern Division Central Division Western Division					
8.		of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, ate sheet identifying the motions)					
		YES NO K					
	LEASE TYPE OR F	PRINT) E Bradford R. Carver					
		rulo & Capone LLP, Two Seaport Ln., 10th Fl., Boston, MA 022					
		(617) 217-5500					
		(CategoryForm.wpd - 5/2/05)					

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(b) County of Residence	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	Hartford ASES)	County of Residence	of Weston of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ID CONDEMNATION CASES, UNVOLVED.	,
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		TF DEF I lncorporated or l of Business In Ti	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	nip of Parties in Item III)		2 2 Incorporated and of Business In 2 3 D 3 Foreign Nation	Principal Place
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	uly)	Foreign Country		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury— Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights	1 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other 140 Drug Agriculture 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	DANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SOCURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Stanttory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts
☑1 Original ☐ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from tate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reinstated or another Reopened (speci		n Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 USC S	1332 28	filing (Do not cite jurisdiction: USC §2201 and Monetary	Judgment soug	ght re: breach of
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: D: Yes No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORD ORD	DOCKET NUMBER	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	MOUNT	A DDI VING 15D	HIDGE		DOI: